

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,652	03/22/2004	Stephen Hillenbrand		9648
7	590 05/17/2005		EXAM	INER
Stephen Hille P.O. Box 1491			GRAHAM	GARY K
San Ramon, C			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/805,652	HILLENBRAND, STEPHEN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gary K. Graham	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matt		
Disposition of Claims			
4) □ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	·		
Application Papers	·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to define on the definition of the definition of the definition is required if the drawing (ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)		ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 3, line 3, it appears "to" should be ---so--- for proper grammar. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 6, there is no antecedent basis for "said brush shaft lower portion".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Quiroz (US patent 6,301,740).

The patent to Quiroz discloses the invention, an adjustable angle paint brush, as is claimed. Quiroz discloses an extension pole (50), a U joint (26,26') and a paint brush shaft (42,43) with attached bristles (34). The U joint is attached to the extension pole via threads (24) and pivotally receives a brush shaft lower portion (43) therein. Pin (23) retains the brush shaft lower portion in the U of the U joint so the brush can pivot in an arcuate manner. The brush shaft is frictionally fit to the inner walls of the U to that the brush shaft can retain its position after adjustment by a user.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quiroz (US patent 6,301,740) in view of Graham (US patent 4,524,484).

The patent to Quiroz discloses all of the above recited subject matter with the exception of the extension pole being comprised of a plurality of telescoped tubular members. The patent to Graham discloses an adjustable extension pole (fig.1) for tools, for example painting tools. The extension pole includes tubular members (12,14) telescoped together which can be selectively locked together by locking means (19,30) at desired lengths.

It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to provide an adjustable extension pole for the extension pole of Quiroz, as clearly suggested by Graham, to enable selection of a length for the extension pole that is most effective for the particular job being done.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary K. Graham whose telephone number is 571-272-1274. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday (6:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Kim can be reached on 571-272-1142. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary K Graham Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

GKG 13 May 2005